

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 2119

Introduced by Assembly Member Nielsen

February 23, 2012

An act to amend Section 68511.9 of the Government Code, relating to courts.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2119, as introduced, Nielsen. Courts: information technology projects.

Existing law provides that the California Case Management System, and all other administrative and infrastructure information technology projects of the Judicial Council or the courts with total costs estimated at more than \$5,000,000, shall be subject to the review and recommendations of the California Technology Agency, as specified. Existing law requires the Secretary of California Technology to submit a copy of those reviews and recommendations to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee.

Existing law renames the office of the State Chief Information Officer as the California Technology Agency and the position of the State Chief Information Officer as the Secretary of California Technology and imposes duties on both regarding state information technology governance and implementation.

This bill would make nonsubstantive changes to the provisions requiring review of judicial information technology projects by correcting obsolete cross-references to the State Chief Information Officer and the office of the State Chief Information Officer.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no.
State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 68511.9 of the Government Code is amended to read:

68511.9. (a) Notwithstanding any other law, the California Case Management System, as well as all other administrative and infrastructure information technology projects of the Judicial Council or the courts with total costs estimated at more than five million dollars (\$5,000,000), shall be subject to the reviews and recommendations of the ~~office of the State Chief Information Officer~~ *California Technology Agency*. ~~The State Chief Information Officer~~ *Secretary of California Technology* shall submit a copy of those reviews and recommendations to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee.

(b) In conducting its review, the ~~office of the State Chief Information Officer~~ *California Technology Agency* shall do all of the following:

(1) Evaluate information technology projects based on the business case justification, resources requirements, proposed technical solution, project management, oversight and risk mitigation approach, and compliance with statewide strategies, policies, and procedures. Projects shall continue to be funded through the established Budget Act.

(2) Consult with the Administrative Office of the Courts during project planning to ensure that project proposals are based on well-defined programmatic needs, clearly identify programmatic benefits, and consider feasible alternatives to address the identified needs and benefits consistent with statewide strategies, policies, and procedures.

(3) Consult with the Administrative Office of the Courts to review the project governance and management framework to ensure that it is best designed for success and will serve as a resource throughout the project implementation.

(4) Require the Administrative Office of the Courts to provide information on information technology projects, including, but not limited to, all of the following:

(A) The degree to which the project is within approved scope, cost, and schedule.

(B) Project issues, risks, and corresponding mitigation efforts.

- 1 (C) The current estimated schedule and costs for project
- 2 completion.

O